# Drivers and barriers for use of assistive technology among children with autism and/or intellectual disabilities: Parents perspective

Kristin Skeide Fuglerud<sup>a,b\*</sup>, Tobba Therkildsen Sudmann<sup>c</sup>, Undine Knarvik<sup>c,d</sup>, Zada Pajalic<sup>e</sup>, Tone Øderudf

- <sup>a</sup>Norwegian Computing Center/Norsk Regnesentral, NO-0314 Oslo, Norway
- <sup>b</sup>Centre for Health and Technology, University of South-Eastern Norway, Grønland 58, 3045 Drammen, Norway
- <sup>c</sup>Western Norway University of Applied Sciences, N-5020 Bergen, Norway
- <sup>d</sup>Norwegian Centre for E-health Research, N-9038 Tromsø, Norway
- eVID Specialized University, Faculty of Health Sciences, Sustainable Healthcare and Welfare Technology (SHWT) Research Group, Oslo, Norway
- ef Sintef Digital, N-0314 Oslo, Norway \*Corresponding author: kristins@nr.no

#### **Abstract**

The aim of this study was to detect drivers and barriers for use of assistive technology (AT) among children with autism spectrum disorder and/or intellectual disabilities. An online workshop with researchers and two parents produced the material. The main drivers for using AT are knowledge about its existence, its inherent possibilities, access and funding. Barriers are related to lack of information, accessibility, knowledge in schools, funding, poor user interface, and poor retail and maintenance service. The workshop co-created an online form which subsequently will be sent to other parents to address these issues further. The study highlights perspectives and aspects that are important to parents and encourages researchers and AT-designers to systematically include end-users in design and implementation.

### Keywords

Participatory design, inclusive design, assistive technology, autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and/or intellectual disabilities (ID), social inclusion

# Introduction

Social inclusion can be facilitated through access to education and employment [1]. However, while the prevalence of people with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and/or intellectual disabilities (ID) is growing [2], these groups are among the most disadvantaged and socially excluded in society [3]. Research suggests that the use of assistive technology (AT) can contribute to increased self-management and participation in education and work [4,5]. Despite the promising results of AT in research projects, the adoption of such technology in everyday living, education or work settings is slow [6]. So far, there is a lack of scientific knowledge about drivers and barriers for uptake and use of AT amongst persons with ASD and/or ID [6]. The body of knowledge on the use of AT by children with ASD/ID is even more scarce.

This paper presents results from a workshop with two parents of three children with ASD and/or ID, about their experiences and opinions regarding acquiring, learning and

using AT for themselves and their children. One of the parents identified as living well with ASD.

# **Background**

Persons living with ASD and ID face a range of challenges in everyday living. Some of these challenges include difficulties with communication, social interaction, concentration, time management and self-regulation. While the range of available AT for persons with ASD/ID is soaring, its implementation is slow, which suggests that there might be several challenges that hinder technology adoption or its continued usage [6]. While AT has potential to add value to everyday living for persons living with ASD/ID, it is essential to address both drivers and barriers to uptake. Known barriers are related to mismatch between design of the user-interface and the wider social and material contexts. To our knowledge, end-users with ASD/ID and other stakeholders are not systematically involved in research or technology design and implementation processes. Henceforth, drivers for uptake might be overseen or ignored.

# Copyright: © AAATE and K.S. Fuglerud et al. 2023. This is an openaccess extended abstract of a contribution (Oral contribution) given at the AAATE 2023 Conference in Paris.

It is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits copy and reproduction of the material in any medium or format, provided the original author and source are credited.

#### Method

The authors hosted an online interactive workshop for parents to children with ASD and/or ID in early December 2021, under Covid-19 restrictions. Parents were invited to participate through peer support organizations for persons living with ASD/ID. Covid constraints, time-constraints in

the Advent, and unpredictable everyday lives with a child with a disability, caused large drop out. The workshop had a total of two parents to three children. The small group format gave all participants (researchers and parents) an eminent opportunity for in-depth discussion [7].

The workshop had three main parts. The first part consisted of a joint discussion and conversation about what type of AT they had experience with, how they and or their children used it, which advantages and disadvantages they had discovered, as well as what needs and wishes they had for AT and digital tools. For the second part we presented an online form where participants could enter their experiences related to AT, as a summary of the previous discussion. The participants were invited to discuss and comment upon this form. Finally, we wanted to get feedback on their experiences and wishes for user participation in the development of AT.

The workshop was hosted on MS Teams and recorded using its built-in function. The recording was downloaded for secure storage. The participants consented to videorecording by confirming acceptance of the informed consent letter via email, and verbally in the beginning of the workshop. The transcribed workshop data were analysed using thematic analysis.

# Preliminary results and discussion

The participants expressed that it was meaningful to participate in the workshop. They were able to convey their experiences to the researchers and each other. Some of the main themes from their experience with adoption and use of AT are:

- How to get advice on which technology might be usable and suitable.
- The process of acquiring AT including economic aspects, as well as trial and error to find aids that work for their children.
- That school personnel sometimes lack understanding of the need for AT and their function.
- Guidance, training, and support in relation to AT products for all relevant stakeholders.
- Time management is a feature in many ATs for pepersons with ASD/ID. Organizing and coordinating the task of adding and managing entries connected to time management, between caretakers and the kindergarten or the school can therefore be an issue.
- How to help the child become more independent by gradually transferring responsibility for handling the AT to the child.

The second part of the workshop was a co-creation session on the further development of an online form with questions for use in similar workshops. The participants made comments and suggestions for improvement to the online workshop arrangement so that it could be even better and more suitable for parents with ASD. The participant with ASD underscored that most people with ASD like to be well informed and prepared, and the advantage of being able to think through questions in advance, in peace and quiet. Therefore, they suggested that questions to be discussed

should be distributed beforehand, possibly as an online form. The participants suggested reformulations and amendments to questions in the online form that were presented to them. For example, we received the following advice: "the questions could have been more focused on things such as how did you find out about the tool, did you get advice from someone about the tool and issues related to this". They also suggested a question about whether parents have encountered positive or negative attitudes when seeking help and advice about AT. This discussion shed light on topics that the participants were concerned about and where they believed there is a need for more knowledge. Both the need for easier access to information about AT and the need for involving stakeholders, including end-users, in the design of such systems, is in line with the views of AT professionals [8].

## **Conclusion**

The workshop generated several insights on drivers and barriers related to uptake and use of AT for use in daily life and at school for their children with ASD/ID. Main drivers are systematic inclusion of end-users in design and sharing of knowledge about promising AT. Main barriers are lack of information about potentially useful technology, lack of training, high demand on cooperation and coordination of entering content to the technology, lack of interest, knowledge and support from schools and lack of funding. The parents were clear about the need to include them, young persons and others living with ASD/ID in design and implementation of AT. Additional research is needed to ascertain the degree to which others share similar experiences and to assess the applicability to different products and contexts.

## **Acknowledgements**

This abstract is based upon work in the research project ROSA - Robot Supported Education for children with ASD, partly funded by the Research Council of Norway, and the COST Action CA19104 "advancing Social inclusion through Technology and Empowerment" (a-STEP) partly funded by the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme of the European Union. The COST Actions help connect research initiatives across Europe and enable scientists to strengthen their research by sharing their ideas with their peers.

## References

- [1] Simplican SC, Leader G, Kosciulek J, Leahy M. Defining social inclusion of people with intellectual and developmental disabilities: an ecological model of social networks and community participation. Res Dev Disabil. [Internet]. 2015;38:18–29.
  - http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2014.10.008
- [2] UN. Getting a Life Living Independently and Being Included in the Community: A Legal Study of the Current Use and Future Potential of the EU Structural Funds to Contribute to the Achievement of Article 19 of the

- United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities [Internet]. Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights Regional Office for Europe.; 2012. Available from: https://www.universityofgalway.ie/media/centrefordisabilitylawandpolicy/files/getting\_a\_life\_art\_19\_crpd\_and\_eu\_structural\_funds.pdf
- [3] Ritchie H. Neurodevelopmental disorders [Internet]. Our World in Data. 2019 [cited 2023 Mar 13]. Available from: https://ourworldindata.org/neurodevelopmental-disor-
- [4] Aresti-Bartolome N, Garcia-Zapirain B. Technologies as support tools for persons with autistic spectrum disorder: a systematic review. Int J Environ Res Public Health [Internet]. 2014;11(8):7767–802. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph110807767
- [5] Morash-Macneil V, Johnson F, Ryan JB. A Systematic Review of AT for Individuals With Intellectual Disability

- in the Workplace. J Spec Educ Technol [Internet]. 2018;33(1):15-26. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1177/0162643417729166
- [6] Ghanouni P, Jarus T, Zwicker JG, Lucyshyn J. The Use of Technologies Among Individuals With Autism Spectrum Disorders: Barriers and Challenges. J Spec Educ Technol [Internet]. 2020;35(4):286-94. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1177/0162643419888765
- Toner J. Small is not too Small: Reflections Concerning the Validity of Very Small Focus Groups (VSFGs). Qualitative Social Work [Internet]. 2009; 8(2):179-92. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1177/1473325009103374
- [8] Danemayer J, Holloway C, Cho Y, Berthouze N, Singh A, Bhot W, et al. Seeking information about AT: Exploring current practices, challenges, and the need for smarter systems. Int J Hum Comput Stud [Internet]. 2023;177:103078. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1071581923000873