





Effects of N/S Molar Ratio on Product Formation in Psychrophilic Autotrophic Biological Removal of Sulfide

Michal Sposob * 🔍, Rune Bakke 🔍 and Carlos Dinamarca

Department of Process, Energy and Environmental Technology, University College of Southeast Norway, Kjølnes Ring 56, 3918 Porsgrunn, Norway; rune.bakke@usn.no (R.B.); carlos.dinamarca@usn.no (C.D.) * Correspondence: michal.sposob@usn.no; Tel.: +47-4863-2389

Received: 13 March 2017; Accepted: 27 June 2017; Published: 29 June 2017

Abstract: The excessive H₂S presence in water and wastewater can lead to corrosion, toxicity, and biological processes inhibition—i.e., anaerobic digestion. Production of H₂S can occur in psychrophilic conditions. Biological removal of HS⁻ by addition of NO₃⁻ as an electron acceptor under psychrophilic (10 °C) conditions in a continuous flow experiment is evaluated here. Four different N/S molar ratios—0.35, 0.40, 0.60, and 1.30—were tested in an expanded granular sludge bed (EGSB) reactor. Samples were analyzed daily by ion chromatography. Efficient psychrophilic HS⁻ removal with sulfur products oxidation control by NO₃⁻ supply is documented. The highest HS⁻ removal was obtained at N/S = 0.35 and 1.30 (89.1 ± 2.2 and 89.6 ± 2.9%). Removal of HS⁻ was less at mid-N/S with the lowest value (76.9 ± 2.6%) at N/S = 0.60. NO₃⁻ removal remained high for all N/S ratios. N/S molar ratio influenced the sulfur products distribution with less S⁰ and increase in SO₄²⁻ effluent concentration with increasing N/S ratio. Oxidation of HS⁻ and accumulated S⁰ occurred simultaneously at N/S ratios >0.35. The observations are explained by culture flexibility in utilizing available resources for energy gain.

Keywords: autotrophic denitrification; elemental sulfur recovery; psychrophilic conditions; sulfate production; sulfide removal; N/S ratio impact

1. Introduction

Nitrate (NO₃⁻) and sulfide (H₂S) are present in many kinds of wastewater. Their removal is necessary due to their negative environmental and economic impact—i.e., increase of maintenance costs in anaerobic digesters or wastewater treatment plants. Presence of H₂S can lead to corrosion, human toxicity, and biological process inhibition [1]. It has been reported that concentrations of dissolved HS⁻ in the 100–800 mg/L range can inhibit anaerobic digestion [2]. Additionally, the presence of NO₃⁻ can inhibit volatile fatty acids (VFAs) production, methanogens, and consequently methane production [3].

Due to the wide diversity of sulfur reducing bacteria (SRB) the production of H_2S can occur also in psychrophilic conditions [4]. The possibility to remove H_2S in psychrophilic conditions by harvesting elemental sulfur (S⁰) out of the process line seems to be an interesting opportunity. Many waters and wastewaters are characterized by their low temperatures, especially in cold climates and winter conditions (e.g., Nordic countries). Production of S⁰ at low temperatures can become important since heating up to mesophilic conditions can be prohibitively expensive.

 NO_3^- and HS^- can be removed simultaneously by sulfide oxidizing bacteria (SOB), where NO_3^- serves as an electron acceptor and HS^- as an electron donor. Simultaneous removal of NO_3^- and HS^- has been studied frequently in auto- and heterotrophic conditions but to our knowledge, nothing was published on continuous flow EGSB at low temperatures and at different N/S ratios. The simultaneous presence of NO_3^- and HS^- in wastewaters is uncommon. Thus, in terms of applicability of the

described process, typically NO_3^- needs to be added to remove HS⁻ from contaminated water. The usage of NO_3^- as an electron acceptor for HS⁻ removal can be more cost-effective than O_2 , which can also be used in biological HS⁻ oxidation. NO_3^- has high solubility and can be added at lower costs than O_2 [5].

The simultaneous biological removal of NO₃⁻ and HS⁻ can lead to different final products in terms of HS⁻ oxidation degree depending on relative molar ratio between NO₃⁻ and HS⁻ (N/S ratio), while NO₃⁻ is reduced to nitrogen gas (N₂). Based on theoretical considerations, including both anabolism and catabolism, two different key N/S ratios can be distinguished: 0.35 and 1.30 [6]. At N/S = 0.35 the main final product is S⁰ where for 1.30 it is SO_4^{2-} . N/S = 1.30 requires four-times more NO₃⁻ than at N/S = 0.35 for mainly S⁰ production. Mixed products composition occurs at feed ratios between these two values [7]. Previously published batch and continuous flow experiments were focused on appropriate electron donor (reduced sulfur compounds), C/N/S ratios, reactor configurations, and/or pH conditions at mainly mesophilic conditions [8–11]. Psychrophilic conditions are rarely studied [8,12,13], but it has been reported that the removal of NO₃⁻ decreases at temperature <15 °C [14]. Efficient NO₃⁻ removal using thiosulfate (S₂O₃²⁻) as an electron donor has, however, been observed at 3 °C [13] and efficient NO₃⁻ removal at 10 °C with HS⁻ as an electron donor is reported [15].

The objective of this study is to evaluate effects of different N/S ratios as a strategy to control sulfur product distribution in a continuous flow expanded granular sludge bed (EGSB) reactor at 10 $^{\circ}$ C.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Inoculum and Enrichment

The inoculum was taken from an up-flow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) methanogenic reactor treating pulp and paper industry wastewater at Norske Skog Saugbrugs, Halden, Norway. The EGSB reactor was inoculated with 0.25 L of sludge, which had a total solid content of 59.9 g/L with an 86% organic fraction. Imposed lithoautotrophic conditions caused no methane production while sulfur compounds were produced. The data set evaluated here is from an experiment carried out as a continuation study of temperature impact (temperature range 10–25 °C) on sulfur products distribution at constant feed N/S ratio [15].

2.2. Synthetic Wastewater

The EGSB reactor synthetic feed contained Na₂S·9H₂O (3.12 mM S/L) with NaHCO₃. Potassium phosphate was used as a buffer. Nitrate, which acted as an electron acceptor was supplied at different concentrations 1.08, 1.25, 1.87, and 4.05 mM NO₃⁻/L giving N/S ratios 0.35, 0.40, 0.60, and 1.30, respectively (Table 1). Nitrate feed contained also the following stock solutions: (A) NH₄Cl (10 g/L), MgCl₂·6H₂O (10 g/L), CaCl₂·2H₂O (10 g/L); (B) K₂HPO₄ (300 g/L); (C) MnSO₄·H₂O (0.04 g/L), FeSO₄·7H₂O (2.7 g/L), CuSO₄·5H₂O (0.055 g/L), NiCl₂·6H₂O (0.1 g/L), ZnSO₄·7H₂O (0.088 g/L), CoCl₂·6H₂O (0.05 g/L), H₃BO₃ (0.05 g/L); (D) 10 times concentrated vitamin solution [16]. HNO₃, stock solutions A (10 mL/L), B (2 mL/L), C (2 mL/L), and D (1 mL/L) were dissolved in distilled water. Electron donor (Na₂S·9H₂O) and acceptor (HNO₃) were fed from separate bottles to prevent contamination and reactions in the feed bottles (Figure 1).

Time (Day)	N/S Ratio	NO_3^- (mM/L)	HS^{-} (mM/L)
1–30	0.35	1.08	
31-44	0.40	1.25	0.10
45-52	0.60	1.87	3.12
53-60	1.30	4.05	

Table 1. Feeding parameters.

2.3. Experimental Setup

The 0.5 L effective volume laboratory-scale EGSB reactor was made of polycarbonate with an inner diameter of 32 mm and 620 mm effective height (Figure 1), equipped with tape measure for visual sludge bed height monitoring. Reactor temperature was maintained constant at 10 ± 0.1 °C by a cold plate cooler on the recirculation loop (TE Technology, Inc., Traverse City, MI, USA). Four different N/S ratios were tested under invariable temperature and sulfur load imposed according to Table 1.

Synthetic influent was introduced from two 2 L influent vessels under nitrogen gas to avoid influent aging. Influent was pumped into the reactor at 2 L/day, equivalent to 6 h hydraulic retention time. Recycling pump (P3 in Figure 1) was set to maintain 6 m/h reactor up-flow velocity necessary to expand the sludge bed. pH was monitored by electrode (Hanna Instruments) on the recirculation loop.



Figure 1. Experimental setup.

2.4. Analytical Procedure

Effluent samples were collected daily and analyzed immediately for nitrate (NO₃⁻), nitrite (NO₂⁻), sulfate (SO₄²⁻), sulfide (HS⁻), and thiosulfate (S₂O₃²⁻) in collected liquid samples (following 0.45 μ m filtration) by ion chromatography (Dionex ICS-5000) using potassium hydroxide (KOH) as the eluent. Sulfide concentration was determined indirectly by potassium permanganate oxidation (KMnO₄). Sample separation and elution was performed using an IonPac AS11-HC 2 mm analytical column. Analysis started at 22 mM KOH, gradient started at 6 min, ramped up in 3 min to 45 mM and kept at that concentration for another 4 min. The data acquisition time is 13 min. The injection volume was 10 μ L and the flow rate 0.3 mL/min.

2.5. Elemental Sulfur Measurements

Two different fractions of S^0 were distinguished according to Sposob et al. [15]: accumulated into reactor (denoted as S^0_{acc}) and suspended elemental sulfur (S^0_{ss}). Distinguishing between these two S^0

fractions is done based on the elemental sulfur balance as an indirect method for quantification of S_{acc}^0 , while S_{ss}^0 is equivalent to measured $S_2O_3^{2-}$ [15]. Concentration of S_{acc}^0 was calculated based on the difference between influent HS⁻ concentration and effluent concentrations of HS⁻, SO₄²⁻, and S_{ss}⁰, according to Equation (1). H₂S in the headspace was not measured.

$$S^{0}_{acc} = HS^{-}_{inf} - HS^{-}_{eff} - SO_{4}^{2-}_{eff} - S^{0}_{ss},$$
(1)

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Reactor Performance

The electron acceptor was almost completely removed (Figure 2), on average $98.7 \pm 2.8\%$ throughout the 60 days experiment, which consisted of four phases with increasing NO₃⁻ concentration, thereby changing N/S ratio (Table 1). The NO₃⁻ removal was equal to $96.8 \pm 3.9\%$ at the highest N/S ratio and $99.3 \pm 2.3\%$ at the lowest ratio. It has been reported that NO₃⁻ removal can be significantly inhibited at N/S ratios much higher than derived from stoichiometry [17] but this was not the case here. However, the changes in N/S ratio had an impact on HS⁻ removal with 89.1 ± 2.2 and $89.6 \pm 2.9\%$ at N/S ratios of 0.35 and 1.30, respectively, and only $76.9 \pm 2.6\%$ at N/S = 0.60 (Figure 2).

Both S⁰ forms, accumulated (S⁰_{acc}) and suspended (S⁰_{ss}), were decreasing with increasing N/S ratios and they were negligible at N/S = 1.30 (Table 2). The negative S⁰_{acc} value at N/S = 1.30 implies the oxidation to SO₄²⁻ of the earlier accumulated S⁰ in the reactor during lower N/S ratios.

Each increase in NO₃⁻ resulted in SO₄²⁻ concentration rise, depletion of S⁰ fractions and pH drop (Figures 3 and 4). During the last week of the experiment, pH decreased to 7.19 \pm 0.31 at N/S = 1.30 due to high SO₄²⁻ production (Figures 3 and 4). At this pH, a larger fraction of HS⁻ in the unionized form as H₂S could occur compared to the conditions at lower N/S, with higher pH (Figure 4). It is still argued that an insignificant amount of H₂S was stripped off to headspace since: the dissolved H₂S level at pH 7.19 \pm 0.31 is calculated to only 0.2 mM/L and H₂S has a high solubility in water (150 mM/L, at 10 °C [18]). Therefore, there is no unaccounted for or missing sulfur in the balance.

Table 2. Process output parameters (concentrations in mM/L).

N/S Ratio	S ⁰ _{acc} ¹	SO_4^{2-}	S ⁰ _{ss}	HS ⁻ -S	NO ₃ -	pН	Total Sulfur (Effluent) ²
0.35	0.57 ± 0.21	0.69 ± 0.11	1.52 ± 0.16	0.34 ± 0.07	0.01 ± 0.03	8.11 ± 0.11	2.55 ± 0.21
0.40	0.44 ± 0.32	0.96 ± 0.21	1.24 ± 0.16	0.56 ± 0.15	0.02 ± 0.03	7.92 ± 0.15	2.76 ± 0.36
0.60	0.09 ± 0.20	1.39 ± 0.19	0.91 ± 0.26	0.72 ± 0.08	0.02 ± 0.06	7.65 ± 0.06	3.03 ± 0.2
1.30	-0.69 ± 0.58	3.37 ± 0.83	0.11 ± 0.23	0.32 ± 0.09	0.15 ± 0.16	7.19 ± 0.31	3.81 ± 0.58

Notes: ¹ Derived values come from the balance (Equation (1)); ² Total sulfur (effluent) = $SO_4^{2-} + S_{ss}^0 + HS^--S$.



Figure 2. Time series of substrates removal (NO₃⁻ and HS⁻) under different N/S ratios at 10 °C.



Figure 3. Time series of accumulated (S^{0}_{acc}) and suspended (S^{0}_{ss}) elemental sulfur, and sulfate (SO_{4}^{2-} -S) concentrations under different N/S ratios at 10 °C.



Figure 4. pH vs. time under different N/S ratios at 10 °C.

3.2. Sulfur Components at Different N/S Ratios

The imposed increase in feed NO₃⁻ concentration had, as expected, an impact on the presence of the four different sulfur components, HS⁻, SO₄²⁻ and two fractions of S⁰: accumulated (S⁰_{acc}) and suspended (S⁰_{ss}) (Figure 5).



Figure 5. Share of sulfur products under different N/S ratios at 10 °C.

The initially tested N/S ratio revealed that around 11% ($0.34 \pm 0.07 \text{ mM/L}$) of influent sulfur remained unreacted as HS⁻. At this condition, S⁰_{ss} was a main fraction of S⁰ at a 49% share of influent sulfur while S⁰_{acc} constituted 18%, adding up to 67%. A share of 22% of the electron donor was oxidized to SO₄²⁻ at N/S = 0.35. Similar studies performed at mesophilic conditions reveal lower SO₄²⁻ fractions at similar N/S ratio: (1) At 25 °C and N/S = 0.35 the fraction of SO₄²⁻ constituted 14% [15]; (2) At room temperature (22–23 °C) and N/S = 0.32 only 4% of HS⁻ was converted to SO₄²⁻ [19]. The results confirm previous studies that show temperature impact on HS⁻ removal and SO₄²⁻ production, where the SO₄²⁻ share increases with decreasing temperature [15].

The slight increase in N/S ratio from 0.35 to 0.40 (equivalent to catabolic reaction in simultaneous NO_3^- and HS⁻ removal to yield S⁰) was imposed to supply sufficient NO_3^- such to obtain the complete removal of HS⁻, it however led to less HS⁻ oxidation. The presence of S⁰ fractions also decreased from 67 to 54%, reducing the concentration of S⁰_{acc} by 23% and S⁰_{ss} by 18% in comparison to the previous (N/S = 0.35) period (Table 2). The electron donor removal decreased, so that 18% of influent sulfur remained unreacted. More of the HS⁻ oxidized was, however, oxidized to the highest oxidation level (+VI), increasing the SO₄²⁻ share of products from 22 to 31%. This clearly shows that the appropriate N/S ratio for S⁰ production is lower than that reflected in the catabolic reaction alone.

 S^{0}_{acc} was almost completely avoided at N/S = 0.60 (3% of influent sulfur, Figure 5). S^{0} was still present in the liquid phase (S^{0}_{ss} = 29% of influent sulfur) but much less than at lower N/S ratios. Concentration of HS⁻ and SO₄²⁻ at the effluent increased compared to lower N/S ratios. Unreacted HS⁻, 23%, 0.72 ± 0.08 mM/L, shows the lowest removal of electron donor during the whole experiment. The increase in SO₄²⁻ was similar as for the transition from 0.35 to 0.40, at N/S = 0.60 had a share of 45%.

Effluent SO_4^{2-} was the main HS⁻ oxidation product at the highest studied N/S ratio (1.30; $NO_3^- = 4.08 \text{ mM/L}$) but its concentration varied more than at lower N/S ($3.37 \pm 0.83 \text{ mM/L}$). The sum of sulfur components in the effluent was 22% higher than in the influent during this period (Figures 3 and 5), which is explained by the oxidation of previously accumulated sulfur, S_{acc}^0 . Similar behavior has been observed during abrupt temperature drops [20]. The slight amount S_{ss}^0 ($0.11 \pm 0.23 \text{ mM/L}$);

The observed substrate consumption and products distribution for different ratios between electron acceptor and donor differs from that reported based on catabolic reactions under mesophilic conditions. In comparison, nitrite (NO₂⁻) accumulation observed under mesophilic conditions [7] did not occur in the presented work. It has also been reported that SOB like *Thiobacillus denitrificans* oxidizes stored sulfur only when reduced sulfur compounds—i.e., S₂O₃²⁻—have been depleted [21]. However, in this study higher NO₃⁻ immediately triggered a SO₄²⁻ production increase even when HS⁻ was not completely oxidized.

It has been reported that changes in N/S ratio under heterotrophic conditions caused changes in products distribution similar to that observed here. Additionally, changes in N/S ratio led to changes in the heterotrophic microbial community structure [22]. There may similarly have been autotrophic community changes in the present study, but this was not investigated. An observed decrease in sludge bed height level by 58% from the lowest to the highest N/S tested here may have been related to microbial community structure changes but the main cause is probably loss of S^0_{acc} from the granules. Oxidation of initially stored S^0_{acc} to recover energy at high N/S ratios, is proposed as the main cause of sludge bed reduction.

3.3. Relation between Experimental and Theoretical Products Distribution

Using N/S ratio as a way to control the fate of HS⁻ oxidation to either S⁰ and/or SO₄²⁻ [9] is further analyzed by comparing theoretical equations [6] and experimental results (Figure 6). Obtained experimental results show the offset from theoretical values with good match only at N/S = 1.30. The observed offset, especially at N/S = 0.35, may be due to a metabolic shift that has been observed in a temperature impact study [15]. It was observed that the production of SO₄²⁻ was increasing at a constant N/S ratio (=0.35) with decreasing temperature, which was hypothesized to be a natural response of microbiota to compensate temperature-induced changes in energy requirements.



Figure 6. Experimental and theoretical concentration of elemental sulfur ($S_{acc}^0 + S_{ss}^0$) (left), and SO_4^{2-} (right).

Theoretically, according to the equations given by Kleerebezem and Mendez [6], equal product distribution between S⁰ and SO₄^{2–} should be expected at N/S = 0.825 or even at higher ratios, taking into account just the catabolic reactions. Experimentally, however, equal distribution of S⁰ and

 SO_4^{2-} was reached already at N/S = 0.6. The organisms accumulated some amount of sulfur, S_{acc}^0 as an energy reserve at low N/S ratio. Thus, in addition to temperature effects, the obtained offset at N/S ratios 0.4 and 0.6 may have been influenced by the oxidation of S⁰_{acc}. The continuous flow feeding with increasing N/S ratio, facilitated the observation of competition between S⁰_{acc} and HS⁻ as electron donors. This is especially visible at mid-N/S ratios where the S⁰_{acc} was evidently, to changing degrees, used as an electron donor together with HS⁻, for which removal decreased at the same time. This observation contradicts the previous studies in which it has been reported that the oxidation of accumulated S⁰ as an electron reserve can occur only when the reduced sulfur compounds are depleted (HS⁻ in this case) [21]. The possibility that the organisms can utilize this stored energy by oxidizing S_{acc}^{0} to SO_{4}^{2-} also in conditions when surplus HS⁻ is present implies larger culture flexibility to utilize available resources. The microorganisms may thereby have increased their catabolic energy yield by utilizing differences in free Gibbs energy since the oxidation from S⁰ to SO₄²⁻ has a slightly higher ΔG° than from HS⁻ to SO₄²⁻, -800.76 and -768.28 kJ/reaction, respectively (Table 3). The exponential-like response for S^0 (Figure 6) may thereby be a result of increased S^0_{acc} oxidation with increased influent NO_3^- concentration. This pathway apparently has an impact and may explain the offset and shape of the exponential-like response of N/S ratio on S^0 .

Table 3. Possible reaction of sulfur reduced compounds with nitrate (NO_3^-).

Reaction	ΔG° (1 M of Electron Donor)
$HS^- + 0.4NO_3^- + 1.4H^+ \rightarrow S^0 + 0.2N_2 + 1.2H_2O$	-252.13
$\rm HS^- + 0.8NO_3^- + 0.8H^+ \rightarrow 0.5S_2O_3^{2-} + 0.4N_2 + 0.9H_2O$	-393.14
$\rm HS^- + 1.6NO_3^- + 0.6H^+ \rightarrow SO_4^{2-} + 0.8N_2 + 0.8H_2O$	-768.28
$S^0 + 1.2NO_3^- + 0.4H_2O \rightarrow SO_4^{2-} + 0.6N_2 + 0.8H_2O$	-800.76

The overall percentage distribution of reactants and products (Table 4) shows an imbalance of electrons in the experimental data which implies that some SO_4^{2-} must have been produced through the use of an electron acceptor other than NO_3^- . The percentage of influent sulfur (as HS⁻) oxidized by another electron acceptor decreased with increasing N/S ratio from 14 to 8% of influent sulfur. Similar observations have been reported in other studies where the obtained products exceeds what is theoretically expected based on fed electron acceptor [7,15]. Such unintended electron acceptors could be H⁺ to give H₂ gas, inorganic carbon to biomass, or exposure to O₂.

Table 4. Comparison of theoretical and experimental percentage share of products and electron acceptors uptake.

N/S	Theoretic	Theoretical Share (%)		Experimental Share (%)		ake Share (%)	SO ₄ ^{2–} Produced by Another
Ratio	S ⁰	SO_4^{2-}	S ⁰	SO_4^{2-}	S ⁰	SO4 ²⁻	Electron Acceptor (mM/L)
0.35	100	0	67	22	67	33	0.41 (13%) ¹
0.40	95	5	54	31	46	54	0.44 (14%)
0.60	74	26	32	45	18	82	0.22 (7%)
1.30	0	100	4 ²	108	1	99	0.26 (8%)

Notes: ¹ In parenthesis percentage of influent sulfur concentration; ² only S⁰_{ss} included.

4. Conclusions

The lowest and highest N/S ratios, 0.35 and 1.30, did not differ in HS⁻ removal, with 89.1 \pm 2.2% and 89.6 \pm 2.9%, respectively. Less HS⁻ removal was obtained at intermediate N/S ratios with the lowest, 76.9 \pm 2.6%, at N/S = 0.60.

The products from the studied N/S ratios deviated from theoretical predictions, except at N/S = 1.30. Additionally, equal product distribution between S⁰ and SO₄²⁻ occurred at a lower N/S ratio than theoretically expected. This implies that the reactions in continuous flow bioreactors are more complicated than accounted for in standard stoichiometric models.

Increasing N/S feed ratio caused an increase in SO_4^{2-} production and depletion of stored S⁰. The S⁰ accumulated during the low N/S feed ratio was utilized at higher N/S, thus, leading to SO_4^{2-} production to recover stored energy. The oxidation of S⁰ occurred even though excess HS⁻ was available at higher feed N/S ratios (>0.35). These phenomena can explain the lower removal of HS⁻ at mid-N/S ratios and the highest sulfur concentration obtained in the effluent at N/S = 1.30.

Efficient psychrophilic biological HS⁻ removal with NO₃⁻ as an electron acceptor in an EGSB process is documented and elemental sulfur (S⁰) harvesting can be obtained through careful NO₃⁻ supply control.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank YARA AS International and The Research Council of Norway for support of this research.

Author Contributions: Carlos Dinamarca and Michal Sposob conceived and designed the experiment and all authors were involved in analyzing the data; Michal Sposob performed the experiments and analyzed all the samples; Michal Sposob, Rune Bakke and Carlos Dinamarca contributed to writing the paper.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Pokorna, D.; Zabranska, J. Sulfur-oxidizing bacteria in environmental technology. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 2015, 33, 1246–1259. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chen, Y.; Cheng, J.J.; Creamer, K.S. Inhibition of anaerobic digestion process: A review. *Bioresour. Technol.* 2008, 99, 4044–4064. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Zhou, Z.; Yu, Z.; Meng, Q. Effects of nitrate on methane production, fermentation, and microbial populations in in vitro ruminal cultures. *Bioresour. Technol.* **2012**, *103*, 173–179. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Knoblauch, C.; Sahm, K.; Jørgensen, B.B. Psychrophilic sulfate-reducing bacteria isolated from permanently cold Arctic marine sediments: Description of *Desulfofrigus oceanense* gen. nov., sp. nov., *Desulfofrigus fragile* sp. nov., *Desulfofaba gelida* gen. nov., sp. nov., *Desulfotalea psychrophila* gen. nov., sp. nov. and *Desulfotalea arctica* sp. nov. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* **1999**, *49*, 1631–1643.
- Auguet, O.; Pijuan, M.; Borrego, C.M.; Gutierrez, O. Control of sulfide and methane production in anaerobic sewer systems by means of Downstream Nitrite Dosage. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2016, 550, 1116–1125. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Kleerebezem, R.; Mendez, R. Autotrophic denitrification for combined hydrogen sulfide removal from biogas and post-denitrification. *Water Sci. Technol.* **2002**, *45*, 349–356. [PubMed]
- 7. Cai, J.; Zheng, P.; Mahmood, Q. Effect of sulfide to nitrate ratios on the simultaneous anaerobic sulfide and nitrate removal. *Bioresour. Technol.* **2008**, *99*, 5520–5527. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 8. Fajardo, C.; Mora, M.; Fernández, I.; Mosquera-Corral, A.; Campos, J.L.; Méndez, R. Cross effect of temperature, pH and free ammonia on autotrophic denitrification process with sulphide as electron donor. *Chemosphere* **2014**, *97*, 10–15. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Beristain-Cardoso, R.; Sierra-Alvarez, R.; Rowlette, P.; Flores, E.R.; Gómez, J.; Field, J.A. Sulfide oxidation under chemolithoautotrophic denitrifying conditions. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 2006, 95, 1148–1157. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Huang, C.; Li, Z.; Chen, F.; Liu, Q.; Zhao, Y.; Gao, L.; Chen, C.; Zhou, J.; Wang, A. Efficient regulation of elemental sulfur recovery through optimizing working height of upflow anaerobic sludge blanket reactor during denitrifying sulfide removal process. *Bioresour. Technol.* 2016, 200, 1019–1023. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 11. Mahmood, Q.; Zheng, P.; Cai, J.; Wu, D.; Hu, B.; Li, J. Anoxic sulfide biooxidation using nitrite as electron acceptor. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2007**, *147*, 249–256. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Xu, Y.; Chen, N.; Feng, C.; Hao, C.; Peng, T. Sulfur-based autotrophic denitrification with eggshell for nitrate-contaminated synthetic groundwater treatment. *Environ. Technol.* 2016, *37*, 3094–3103. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Di Capua, F.; Milone, I.; Lakaniemi, A.M.; Lens, P.N.L.; Esposito, G. High-rate autotrophic denitrification in a fluidized-bed reactor at psychrophilic temperatures. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2017**, *313*, 591–598. [CrossRef]
- 14. Yamamoto-Ikemoto, R.; Komori, T.; Nomuri, M.; Ide, Y.; Matsukami, T. Nitrogen removal from hydroponic culture wastewater by autotrophic denitrification using thiosulfate. *Water Sci. Technol.* **2000**, *42*, 369–376.

- Sposob, M.; Bakke, R.; Dinamarca, C. Metabolic divergence in simultaneous biological removal of nitrate and sulfide for elemental sulfur production under temperature stress. *Bioresour. Technol.* 2017, 233, 209–215. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Wolin, E.A.; Wolin, M.J.; Wolfe, R.S. Formation of methane by bacterial extracts. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1963**, 238, 2882–2886. [PubMed]
- 17. Oh, S.E.; Kim, K.S.; Choi, H.C.; Cho, J.; Kim, I.S. Kinetics and physiological characteristics of autotrophic dentrification by denitrifying sulfur bacteria. *Water Sci. Technol.* **2000**, *42*, 59–68.
- 18. Carroll, J.J.; Mather, A.E. The solubility of hydrogen sulphide in water from 0 to 90 °C and pressures to 1 MPa. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **1989**, *53*, 1163–1170. [CrossRef]
- An, S.; Tang, K.; Nemati, M. Simultaneous biodesulphurization and denitrification using an oil reservoir microbial culture: Effects of sulphide loading rate and sulphide to nitrate loading ratio. *Water Res.* 2010, 44, 1531–1541. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 20. Sposob, M.; Dinamarca, C.; Bakke, R. Short-term temperature impact on simultaneous biological nitrogen-sulphur treatment in EGSB reactor. *Water Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *74*, 1610–1618. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 21. Schedel, M.; Trüper, H.G. Anaerobic oxidation of thiosulfate and elemental sulfur in Thiobacillus denitrificans. *Arch. Microbiol.* **1980**, *124*, 205–210. [CrossRef]
- 22. Chen, C.; Xu, X.J.; Xie, P.; Yuan, Y.; Zhou, X.; Wang, A.J.; Lee, D.J.; Ren, N.Q. Pyrosequencing reveals microbial community dynamics in integrated simultaneous desulfurization and denitrification process at different influent nitrate concentrations. *Chemosphere* **2017**, *171*, 294–301. [CrossRef] [PubMed]



© 2017 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).