

## **Having fun and staying active! Children with disabilities and participation in physical activity – a 15-month follow-up study**

**Per Enok Baksjøberget <sup>a,b</sup>, Astrid Nyquist <sup>c</sup>, Thomas Moser <sup>d,\*</sup>, and Reidun Jahnsen <sup>b,c,e</sup>**

a Clinic for Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Vestfold Hospital Trust, Stavern, Norway; b Oslo and Akershus University College of Applied Sciences, Oslo, Norway; c Beitostølen Healthsports Center, Beitostølen, Norway; d Department for Practical, Physical and Aesthetic Education, Faculty of Education and Humanities, Buskerud and Vestfold University College, Tønsberg, Norway; e Department of Neurosciences for Children, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway

Pre-published version of article in  
Physical & Occupational Therapy In Pediatrics

Publisher's version: DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01942638.2017.1281369>  
© 2017 Taylor & Francis Group, LLC

# Having fun and staying active! Children with disabilities and participation in physical activity – a 15-month follow-up study<sup>1</sup>

*Per Enok Baksjøberget, Astrid Nyquist, Thomas Moser & Reidun Jahnsen*

## ABSTRACT

*Aims:* This study investigated the change in the participation profile of physical activity over 15 months after a three-week intensive rehabilitation that used physical activity as the main intervention. *Methods:* The Children's Assessment of Participation and Enjoyment (CAPE) was used in a longitudinal prospective study (pre-post-design) with three measurements over 15 months. Eighty children with physical disabilities (6-17 years old; mean 11.1; SD 2.4), some with additional cognitive challenges, completed all three measurements. *Results:* Participation diversity and intensity of all 55 leisure activities was shown to significantly decline over the 15-month period. The largest decline appeared between the ages of 10 and 13 years. However, among physical activities, there was a stable level of participation of the total group, and sub groups. Boys preferred to a greater extent the "active physical activities", while girls preferred "skill-based activities". Both genders expressed a higher level of enjoyment in their preferred activities according to Preferences for Activities of Children. *Conclusion:* This intervention may have the potential to maintain the level of physical activity among children and youths with disabilities, yet further controlled studies are needed. Gender differences should be taken into consideration to facilitate stable participation in physical activities with a high level of enjoyment.

## KEYWORDS

Participation, physical activity, enjoyment, children, disabilities, CAPE

---

<sup>1</sup> Final version published in: *Physical & Occupational Therapy In Pediatrics*, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01942638.2017.1281369>; Pages 1-12 | Received 15 Dec 2015, Accepted 08 Jan 2017, Published online: 16 Mar 2017

Participation in leisure activities is essential for children's development. Through participation, children develop both mental and physical health, gain social competence and form friendships (King et al., 2003; Schreuer, Sachs, & Rosenblum, 2013). Research has found a positive relationship between participation in leisure activities and improvement in quality of life for children with disabilities (Dahan-Oliel, Shikako-Thomas, & Majnemer, 2012). Children with disabilities are at risk of limited participation in leisure activities compared with their peers without disabilities (King et al., 2009). They face increased barriers for successful participation and participate in fewer activities with less variation and intensity compared with their non-disabled peers, and quiet recreational activities performed at home with family members or alone are more frequent (Imms, 2008a; Law et al., 2006). The difference in participation between children with disabilities and their non-disabled peers increases during adolescence (Brown & Gordon, 1987; Bult et al., 2010; King, Petrenchik, et al., 2010).

Despite an increasing research focus studying change in participation, there is still limited evidence based on longitudinal studies investigating change in participation among children with disability (Anaby, Law, Hanna, & Dematteo, 2012; King et al., 2009). The barriers that people with disabilities experience regarding participation in physical activities are critical as inactivity is one of the western world's biggest health challenges (WHO, 2010); additionally, the consequences of inactivity are more extensive for persons with disabilities (Fowler et al., 2007; Imms, 2008a). Secondary impairments, such as chronic fatigue, pain, and osteoporosis, are among the health challenges that physical activity has a positive impact on (Cardinal, Kosma, & McCubbin, 2004; Fowler et al., 2007; Jahnsen, Villien, Stanghelle, & Holm, 2003).

During adolescence, there is a well-documented decrease in participation in physical activity both for children with and without disabilities (Dumith, Gigante, Domingues, & Kohl, 2011; King et al., 2009; Klasson-Heggebø & Anderssen, 2003). The decline is steepest from 10-12 years (Law et al., 2006). Furthermore, a gender difference in preferred activities has been shown. Boys are reported to participate more in physical activity compared with girls (Dumith et al., 2011; Law et al., 2006). However, staying active during adolescence does not only have an impact on children's immediate mental and physical health. It is through adolescence that an adult lifestyle is developed, and children with disabilities who have positive experiences with physical activity during childhood are more likely to stay active in adulthood (Claassen et al., 2011; Jahnsen, Villien, Aamodt, Stanghelle, & Holm, 2003).

Experience is a subjective aspect of participation and has been identified as a significant predictor for continuous participation among children with disabilities (Heah, Case, McGuire, & Law, 2007; Martin, 2006; McConachie, Colver, Forsyth, Jarvis, & Parkinson, 2006). Imms (2008a) called for studies that measure the aspect of enjoyment in participation, an aspect characterized as a key indicator of successful participation. Hammel et al. (2008) supported the crucial aspect of enjoyment and claimed that "more is not necessarily better", indicating the importance of enjoyment of the activity. Disability research highlights the importance of rehabilitation interventions with the objective of stimulating successful, enjoyable participation in physical activity, preventing further decline in participation for these children (Heah et al., 2007; Rosenbaum & Gorter, 2012).

The intervention in this study was conducted at the Beitostølen Health Sports Center (BHC) (Beitostølen\_Helsesportssenter, Undated), a Norwegian publicly funded rehabilitation center. The primary focus at BHC is to offer a resource-focused approach with a variation of adapted physical activities, which refers to those adapted for persons with a range of disabilities, including the use of technical devices (Hutzler & Sherrill, 2007). The aim is to

achieve competence in the specific activities according to the children's self-defined goals. Another aim is to maintain participation in preferred activities in the local community post-intervention by involving parents and professionals to adapt both the activities and the physical and social environment, including use of technical aids. Children and parents are residents at the center for a period of 19 days. The intervention includes 3-4 individual or group-based activity sessions a day led by a multidisciplinary team (physical therapist, occupational therapist and sports pedagogue). If a child's goal is learning to ski, the therapist will provide the right equipment based on the child's function and needs and create an individually adapted learning environment to develop the necessary skills to achieve the goal. The parents are involved in the activities to secure the transfer to the home environment, and they also follow an additional parental program. Thus, the intervention captures several of the factors noted by King et al. (2003) that are important for participation, including children's preferences and resources, environmental and parental support through training, and education and knowledge transfer.

This study is based on the need for longitudinal studies on the participation in physical activities among children with disabilities (King et al., 2009; Shikako-Thomas et al., 2012). It also focuses on the children's self-experienced enjoyment of participation. Therefore, the potential knowledge contribution relates to the importance of the concept of enjoyment (Hammel et al., 2008; Rosenbaum & Gorter, 2012), as well as the claimed lack of studies analyzing this aspect (Imms, 2008a). The aims of this study were to examine potential changes in the participation profile in leisure time physical activity with regard to diversity, intensity and enjoyment 15 months after a three-week rehabilitation intervention; to assess the association between participation and enjoyment; and to determine whether the participation profile of the children in this study differs from the results of comparable studies.

Summarized, the long-term goal, and the expected outcome of the intervention, is to stimulate

enjoyable, self-determined, and stable participation in physical activity among children with disability. This longitudinal study has no control group; therefore, the findings will be discussed in the light of the results from other studies.

## ***METHODS***

### ***Design***

This study applied a 15-month longitudinal quasi-experimental single group pre/post-test design to the participation profile of children with disabilities using the Children's Assessment of Participation and Enjoyment (CAPE) (King et al., 2004). Measurements were completed at: baseline (time 1) upon arrival at the rehabilitation center assessing participation during the last four months before the intervention, three months post-intervention (time 2) and 15 months post-intervention (time 3). In Norway, there are significant seasonal differences. The three- and 15-month intervals after rehabilitation intervention were chosen so that the two measurements were conducted in the same season, avoiding differences in scores caused by season-dependent effects.

### ***Participants***

Participants in the study were recruited among children in a rehabilitation stay at the BHC. The study was approved by the Regional Committee for Medical Research Ethics, South East Norway (REK-Southern East-A nr: S-08658a) and the Data Inspectorate (nr: 20055). Before participating in the study, both parents and children signed informed consent forms.

The children were aged 6-17 years with multiple disabilities. Primary physical disabilities, some with additional cognitive challenges. At baseline, 152 children (67 girls, 85 boys) participated, while 80 (32 girls, 48 boys) completed all three data collections. The children who withdrew ( $n = 72$ ) were compared with the children that completed all of the three measurements ( $n = 80$ ). Characteristics for both groups of children are shown in Table 1.

Older children were more likely to withdraw from the study than younger children, and the children with muscle/skeletal deformities and metabolic disorders of the CNS were more likely to withdraw than children with other diagnoses (Table 1).

[Insert table 1 about here]

## **Measures**

### *Children's Assessment of Participation and Enjoyment (CAPE)*

The translated Norwegian version of CAPE was used in this study. CAPE was designed for persons with or without disabilities from 6-21 years (King et al., 2004). Its aim is to document the participation profile in leisure activities and to assess the effectiveness of participation-based interventions (Imms, 2008b). The CAPE also seeks to capture the children's subjective experience of enjoyment and has been reported to be a reliable and valid measure in several countries, as well as in Norway (Bult et al., 2010; Imms, 2008b; King et al., 2006; King et al., 2004; Nordtorp, Nyquist, Jahnsen, Moser, & Strand, 2013; Ullenhag, Almqvist, Granlund, & Krumlinde-sundholm, 2012). The responsiveness of CAPE has been reported to have potential (Anaby et al., 2012; King et al., 2009), but further studies are needed to establish substantial evidence (Imms, 2008b). Imms (2008b) stated that the conceptual strengths of CAPE include its measurement of multiple dimensions of participation.

The CAPE was developed to collect children's own experience of participation (Imms, 2008b; King et al., 2004; McConachie et al., 2006) and can be self-administered or conducted as part of an interview. The instrument consists of 55 items covering a range of leisure activities. Each of the items is scored in five dimensions: (1) diversity (how many activities), (2) intensity (how often an activity is performed), (3) with whom, (4) where and (5) enjoyment. The dimensions of interest of this study are diversity, intensity, and enjoyment.

The items are categorized into activity domains of *formal* (15 items) and *informal* (40 items) and activity types of *recreational* (12 items), *active physical* (13 items), *social* (10 items), *skill-based* (10 items), and *self-improvement* (10 items) (King et al., 2004). This study intended to investigate the potential change in the physical activity profile. Both the categories of *active physical activity* and *skill-based activity* include activities that are understood in a Norwegian cultural context as physical activities that are in line with those targeted in the intervention. Therefore, these two activity categories were analyzed further. However, the level of physical activity may vary across the different activities in these two categories. The overall CAPE scores are included to allow comparison with other studies concerning participation in all leisure activities. Demographic information was collected by a questionnaire given to the parents and from the children's medical chart.

### ***Procedure***

Data collection at baseline (time 1) was performed using the CAPE as a self-reported questionnaire under the supervision of trained therapists at arrival to the rehabilitation center. For times 2 and 3, the questionnaires were sent by post to the participants' homes for self-completion and return.

### ***Data Analysis***

All analyses were completed using IBM Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 22 for windows. The level of significance was set to  $p < 0.05$ . The data were treated as ordinal variables, and because the data were skewed, non-parametric tests were used.

Differences between the drop out group and the group that completed all three measurements were tested by chi-square, Mann-Whitney U and Fisher's exact tests. The Friedman test was used to detect differences between the three time points of data collection. Wilcoxon test where used as follow up test calculating effect size where significance was shown in the



initial analysis. With regard to possible gender differences, the Mann-Whitney U test was used, whilst differences between the three age groups were tested with the Kruskal-Wallis test (Field, 2013). Data were analyzed for the whole group, then for the two genders and the three age groups separately. The age range was 6-17 years old and was divided into three equal age groups corresponding to the Norwegian school system (6-9, 10-13 and 14-17 years). The analyses were conducted for all 55 activities, including active physical activities and skill-based activities. The three dimensions analyzed were diversity, intensity and enjoyment.

## **RESULTS**

After the 15-month follow-up, the Friedman test revealed a significant decrease ( $\chi^2(2) = 7.47$ ,  $p = 0.024$ ) in activity diversity of the median overall score from 29 (range 16-39) to 27 (range 13-41). Wilcoxon showed small effect size ( $r > .1$ ) both comparing time 1 vs time 2,  $r = -.16$  and time 1 vs time 3,  $r = .17$ . Additionally, there was a significant decrease ( $\chi^2(2) = 9.28$ ,  $p = 0.01$ ) in the intensity domain of the median overall score from 2.4 (range 1.2-3.6) to 2.3 (range 1.0-3.7), reporting small effect size comparing time 1 and time 2,  $r = -.22$  and time 1 and time 3,  $r = -.14$ . However, no decline was reported for the same dimensions among physical and skill-based activities. Table 2 shows the scores for the total group, the two genders separately, all 55 leisure activities, active physical activities and skill-based activities.

The two gender groups showed no significant changes in any of the dimensions at an overall level. The Friedman test detected that girls had decreasing diversity ( $\chi^2 = 6.13$ ,  $p = 0.047$ ) and intensity ( $\chi^2 = 7.26$ ,  $p = 0.026$ ) of participation in the active physical activities. Follow up test revealed small effect size comparing time 1 and time 2,  $r = -.22$ , and time 1 and time 3,  $r = -.019$  for diversity respectively small effect size comparing time 1 and time 2,  $r = -.29$  and medium effect size ( $r > .3$ ) comparing time 1 vs time 3,  $r = -.33$ . Boys had a stable score in all of the dimensions during the 15 months. The results showed that the boys

participated in significantly more active physical activities than girls both at time 2 ( $U = 1102$ ,  $z = -3.34$ ,  $p = 0.001$ ) and at time 3 ( $U = 497$ ,  $z = -2.70$ ,  $p = 0.006$ ) and also more frequently at time 2 ( $U = 852.5$ ,  $z = -3.89$ ,  $p = 0.001$ ) and at time 3 ( $U = 440$ ,  $z = -2.95$ ,  $p = 0.003$ ). Boys also reported higher enjoyment at time 1 ( $U = 2024$ ,  $z = -2.72$ ,  $p = 0.007$ ) and at time 3 ( $U = 533.5$ ,  $z = -2.01$ ,  $p = 0.045$ ) with regard to participation in active physical activities. Girls reported higher scores on participation in skill-based activities at time 3 ( $U = 551$ ,  $z = -2.18$ ,  $p = 0.029$ ) and higher frequency at time 2 ( $U = 1070$ ,  $z = -2.70$ ,  $p = 0.007$ ). The girls also reported higher enjoyment in skill-based activities at time 3 ( $U = 456.5$ ,  $z = -2.51$ ,  $p = 0.012$ ).

[Insert table 2 about here]

The group was divided into three age groups (6-8 years, 10-13 years and 14-17 years), analyzed for change within the age group during the 15 months, and compared at the same time of measurement. At the 15-month follow-up, the age group from 10-13 years showed a decline in participation in both the diversity ( $\chi^2(2) = 10.71$ ,  $p = 0.005$ ) and intensity ( $\chi^2(2) = 9.16$ ,  $p = 0.01$ ) dimensions on an overall level. Follow up test showed small effect size both on diversity comparing time 1 vs time 2,  $r = -.24$ , time 1 vs time 3,  $r = -.25$  and time 2 vs time 3,  $r = -.011$ , and intensity comparing time 1 vs time 2,  $r = -.29$  and time 1 vs time 3 =  $-.025$ . The other age groups reported stable participation over the 15 months. The youngest children (6-9 years) showed higher diversity ( $H(2) = 16.96$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) than the two other age groups at time 3 for all 55 activities. The same age group also showed higher intensity for all 55 activities at time 1 ( $H(2) = 25.45$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), time 2 ( $H(2) = 15.01$ ,  $p = 0.001$ ) and time 3 ( $H(2) = 12.87$ ,  $p = 0.002$ ). There were no other differences between the age groups at any activity level or for any dimension. Table 3 shows the scores for the participants divided by age group for all 55 activities, for active physical activities and for skill-based activities.

[Insert table 3 about here]

## *DISCUSSION*

There has been a call for longitudinal studies examining the change in participation profile of children with disabilities over time (King et al., 2009; Shikako-Thomas et al., 2012). There is a well described critical decline in physical activity participation among children with disabilities during adolescence. This study measured the general participation in leisure activities and physical activities, specifically among children with disabilities over a period of 15 months. The aim of this study was to describe the changes in the participation profile in physical activities after an intensive intervention period using adapted physical activity in a resource-focused approach and to describe any association between stable participation and the score of participation enjoyment.

The findings at an overall level support the results of previous studies that children with disabilities, similar to their peers, have a decline in leisure activity participation during adolescence, regarding both diversity and intensity (Bult et al., 2010; Dumith et al., 2011; Longmuir & Bar-Or, 2000). They participate in fewer activities and at a decreasing frequency with age. The critical challenge is that, compared with their non-disabled peers, children with disabilities not only participate in fewer activities but also in more activities that are more sedentary (Carlson, Taylor, Dodd, & Shields, 2013), and this gap widens with age (Brown & Gordon, 1987; King et al., 2006). The potential consequences of a sedentary lifestyle are also more severe for people with disabilities (Fowler et al., 2007). The steepest decline in overall scores in this study was among the group aged 10-13 years, which is consistent with the findings of Law et al. (2006) and King, Law, Hurley, Petrenchik, and Schwellnus (2010),

rendering this period of adolescence a vital target for interventions to prevent diminished participation.

Despite the significant decrease in the overall scores, the children in this study reported stable participation in the physical and skill-based activities, which represent the physical activities on the CAPE. There was neither a decline in the physical activities among the group as a whole nor among the three age groups. The children participated at the same level of activity, diversity, and intensity during the 15 months. These findings differ from results of other studies (Longmuir & Bar-Or, 2000; Maher, Williams, Olds, & Lane, 2007) that indicated a diminished participation in physical activity over time, implying that older children participated in more passive recreational activities than younger children. Considering that children with disabilities already have a low level of physical activity (Majnemer et al., 2008) and that this level declines further among adolescents, the stable results of this study could be considered as a positive outcome. This is supported by Dumith et al. (2011) who concluded in their systematic review that interventions that managed to attenuate the decline in participation also should be considered as effective. As stated in the introduction, the objective of the intervention was to stimulate enjoyable, self-determined and stable participation, and this was the expected outcome given a successful intervention.

The findings of this study revealed gender differences in participation in physical activities. Boys reported a significantly higher diversity and intensity of participation in active physical activity after 15 months compared with girls. They had a stable participation level, whilst girls showed a decline in active physical activity. The opposite trend emerged with the skill-based activities. Girls scored significantly higher on diversity of skill-based activities after 15 months than boys. They also scored significantly higher on intensity than boys after three months, but the difference was not significant after 15 months. These gender differences related to activity type are similar to the findings of Dumith et al. (2011) and Law et al.

(2006). Both the active physical and skill-based activities on the CAPE involve physical activities. When active physical and skill-based activities merged into physical activities, there are no gender differences at the time of measurement. This indicates that the level of physical activity is stable among the two genders, but the preference for activity type differs. Boys prefer the activities categorized as active physical activities in CAPE, whilst girls prefer the skill-based activities. It is essential when using this assessment tool to be aware that the physical activities captured by CAPE consist of more activities than those listed in the category of active physical activities. An unawareness of this increases the risk of a potential bias in the interpretation of the results.

Interestingly, the activities favored by the two gender groups were the activities that scored highest on enjoyment. Boys scored significantly higher on enjoyment in active physical activities than girls; conversely, the girls scored higher on enjoyment in the skill-based activities. Supported by findings from Jahnsen, Villien, Aamodt, et al. (2003), Heah et al. (2007) and Imms (2008a), these results are important, emphasizing that enjoyment is a strong predictor of sustained participation in physical activity. This may indicate that children who participate in activities that they prefer are more likely to stay active. Published work from the baseline data supports this, illustrating that the children in this study at baseline were already participating in the activities they preferred but wished to have a higher level of participation (Nyquist, 2012). The preference of activities was measured using the Preferences for Activities of Children (King et al., 2004).

Several of our results agree with other findings concerning the participation of children with disabilities in leisure activities. This study confirms a low level of diversity and intensity (Law et al., 2006); however, our finding of a positive stable level of participation in active physical activities is rather unique. Several authors highlighted the importance of consulting children and parents about their preferences for activity and of approaches for interventions

that focused on the resources of the participants (Heah et al., 2007; King et al., 2009; Shikako-Thomas et al., 2014). According to a model of factors that influenced participation in children with disabilities developed by King et al. (2003), environmental, family and child factors may represent barriers that impact participation. The results from the present study indicated the potential for positive change in these factors after an intervention that targeted physical activity with a resource-focused approach. Involving the children in the choice of their preferred activities, guiding parents and professionals from the local community, and improving skills for the selected activities have all been shown to be important factors that influence children's participation in physical activity. A decline in the diversity of activities is not necessarily negative as it might be due to choice, and the frequency might still be stable among the fewer preferred activities. However, if the decline is due to a lack of accessibility, then it might be a clinically important change in the negative direction.

This study has several limitations. The sample size is moderate and the pre/post-test design without a control group provides few possibilities for statistical generalizations. The pre/post-test design can only describe trends of change and cannot offer conclusive effects of the intervention. Additionally, children's participation is complex and influenced by several factors. This study has analyzed the change in diversity, intensity and enjoyment during a 15-month period after a rehabilitation intervention. The nature of the data did not allow the opportunity to model a wider range of factors that influenced participation, leaving only assumptions about the nature of the change in participation.

There is a need for longitudinal studies that model these complex factors. Personal factors as age, gender and preferences (King et al., 2009), social factors as parental and peer support (Shields, Synnot, & Barr, 2012) and environmental factors as availability and use of suitably adaptive equipment (Shimmell, Gorter, Jackson, Wright, & Galuppi, 2013) should be considered included in future longitudinal studies. Furthermore, because the oldest children

were more likely to drop out from the study than the younger ones, this could lead to selection bias. The lack of a large sample size to investigate the responsiveness of the CAPE in addition the lack of other studies establishing substantial evidence for the responsiveness, also decreases the confidence in the findings.

A strength of this study is that it applied a longitudinal design investigating changes in participation among the same group of children over time. Such designs are scarce and called for by several studies in this field (Imms, 2008a; King et al., 2009; Shikako-Thomas et al., 2013). The results of the present study indicate that a three-week intensive and tailor-made rehabilitation program, which includes adapted physical activities with a resource-focused and goal-directed approach, may be beneficial for children with disabilities and may sustain participation in physical activity. Gender differences should be taken into consideration to facilitate stable participation in physical activities with a high level of enjoyment.

## REFERENCES

- Anaby, D., Law, M., Hanna, S., & Dematteo, C. (2012). Predictors of change in participation rates following acquired brain injury: results of a longitudinal study. *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology*, 54(4), 339-346. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8749.2011.04204.x
- Beitostølen\_Helsesportssenter. (Undated). Retrieved from <http://bhss.no>
- Brown, M., & Gordon, W. A. (1987). Impact of impairment on activity patterns of children. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*, 68(12), 828-832.
- Bult, M. K., Verschuren, O., Gorter, J. W., Jongmans, M. J., Piskur, B., & Ketelaar, M. (2010). Cross-cultural validation and psychometric evaluation of the Dutch language version of the Children's Assessment of Participation and Enjoyment (CAPE) in children with and without physical disabilities. *Clinical rehabilitation*, 24(9), 843. doi:10.1177/0269215510367545
- Cardinal, B. J., Kosma, M., & McCubbin, J. A. (2004). Factors influencing the exercise behavior of adults with physical disabilities. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise*, 36(5), 868.
- Carlson, S. L., Taylor, N. F., Dodd, K. J., & Shields, N. (2013). Differences in habitual physical activity levels of young people with cerebral palsy and their typically developing peers: a systematic review. *Disability and Rehabilitation*, 35(8), 647-655. doi:10.3109/09638288.2012.715721
- Claassen, A. A. O. M., Gorter, J. W., Stewart, D., Verschuren, O., Galuppi, B. E., & Shimmell, L. J. (2011). Becoming and staying physically active in adolescents with cerebral palsy: protocol of a qualitative study of facilitators and barriers to physical activity.(Study protocol)(Report). *BMC Pediatrics*, 11, 1.



- Dahan-Oliel, N., Shikako-Thomas, K., & Majnemer, A. (2012). Quality of life and leisure participation in children with neurodevelopmental disabilities: a thematic analysis of the literature. *An International Journal of Quality of Life Aspects of Treatment, Care and Rehabilitation - Official Journal of the International Society of Quality of Life Research*, 21(3), 427-439. doi:10.1007/s11136-011-0063-9
- Dumith, S. C., Gigante, D. P., Domingues, M. R., & Kohl, H. W. (2011). Physical activity change during adolescence: a systematic review and a pooled analysis. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 40(3), 685-698. Retrieved from <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=fsr&AN=63714576&site=ehost-live>
- Field, A. (2013). *Discovering statistics using IBM SPSS statistics : and sex and drugs and rock 'n' roll* (4th ed. ed.). Los Angeles: SAGE.
- Fowler, E. G., Kolobe, T. H., Damiano, D. L., Thorpe, D. E., Morgan, D. W., Brunstrom, J. E., . . . Stevenson, R. D. (2007). Promotion of physical fitness and prevention of secondary conditions for children with cerebral palsy: section on pediatrics research summit proceedings. *Physical therapy*, 87(11), 1495.
- Hammel, J., Magasi, S., Heinemann, A., Whiteneck, G., Bogner, J., & Rodriguez, E. (2008). What does participation mean? An insider perspective from people with disabilities. *Disability & Rehabilitation*, 30(19), 1445-1460. Retrieved from <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=s3h&AN=34767471&site=ehost-live>
- Heah, T., Case, T., McGuire, B., & Law, M. (2007). Successful participation: the lived experience among children with disabilities. *Canadian Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 74(1), 38.

- Hutzler, Y., & Sherrill, C. (2007). Defining Adapted Physical Activity: International Perspectives. *Adapted Physical Activity Quarterly*, 24(1), 1-20.
- Imms, C. (2008a). Children with cerebral palsy participate: A review of the literature. *Disability & Rehabilitation*, 30(24), 1867-1884. Retrieved from <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=s3h&AN=35484606&site=ehost-live>
- Imms, C. (2008b). Review of the Children's Assessment of Participation and Enjoyment and the Preferences for Activity of Children. *Physical & Occupational Therapy in Pediatrics*, 2008, Vol.28(4), p.389-404, 28(4), 389-404.  
doi:10.1080/01942630802307135
- Jahnsen, R., Villien, L., Aamodt, G., Stanghelle, J. K., & Holm, I. (2003). Physiotherapy and Physical Activity Experiences of Adults with Cerebral Palsy, with Implications for Children. *Advances in Physiotherapy*, 2003, Vol.5(1), p.21-32, 5(1), 21-32.  
doi:10.1080/14038190310005779
- Jahnsen, R., Villien, L., Stanghelle, J. K., & Holm, I. (2003). Fatigue in adults with cerebral palsy in Norway compared with the general population. *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology*, 45(5), 296-303. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8749.2003.tb00399.x
- King, G., Law, M., Hurley, P., Petrenchik, T., & Schwellnus, H. (2010). A Developmental Comparison of the Out-of-School Recreation and Leisure Activity Participation of Boys and Girls with and without Physical Disabilities. *International Journal of Disability, Development and Education*(1), 77-107. doi:10.1080/10349120903537988
- King, G., Law, M., King, S., Hurley, P., Hanna, S., Kertoy, M., & Rosenbaum, P. (2006). Measuring children's participation in recreation and leisure activities: construct validation of the CAPE and PAC. *Child: Care, Health and Development*, 33(1), 28-39. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2214.2006.00613.x

- King, G., Law, M., King, S., Hurley, P., Hanna, S., Kertoy, M., . . . Young, N. (2004). *Children's Assessment of Participation and Enjoyment (CAPE) and Preferences for Activities of Children (PAC)*. San Antonio, TX, USA: Harcourt Assessment, Inc.
- King, G., Lawm, M., King, S., Rosenbaum, P., Kertoy, M. K., & Young, N. L. (2003). A Conceptual Model of the Factors Affecting the Recreation and Leisure Participation of Children with Disabilities. *Physical & Occupational Therapy in Pediatrics*, 2003, Vol.23(1), p.63-90, 23(1), 63-90. doi:10.1080/J006v23n01\_05
- King, G., McDougall, J., Dewit, D., Petrenchik, T., Hurley, P., & Law, M. (2009). Predictors of Change Over Time in the Activity Participation of Children and Youth with Physical Disabilities. *Child Health Care*, 38(4), 321-351. doi:10.1080/02739610903237352
- King, G., Petrenchik, T., DeWit, D., McDougall, J., Hurley, P., & Law, M. (2010). Out-of-school time activity participation profiles of children with physical disabilities: a cluster analysis. *Child: Care, Health and Development*, 36(5), 726-741. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2214.2010.01089.x
- Klasson-Heggebø, L., & Anderssen, S. A. (2003). Gender and age differences in relation to the recommendations of physical activity among Norwegian children and youth. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*, 13(5), 293-298. doi:10.1034/j.1600-0838.2003.00337.x
- Law, M., King, G., King, S., Kertoy, M., Hurley, P., Rosenbaum, P., . . . Hanna, S. (2006). Patterns of participation in recreational and leisure activities among children with complex physical disabilities. *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology*, 48(5), 337-342. doi:10.1017/S0012162206000740

- Longmuir, P. E., & Bar-Or, O. (2000). Factors influencing the physical activity levels of youths with physical and sensory disabilities. *Adapted Physical Activity Quarterly*, 17, 40-53.
- Maher, C. A., Williams, M. T., Olds, T., & Lane, A. E. (2007). Physical and Sedentary Activity in Adolescents with Cerebral Palsy. *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology*, 49(6), 450-457. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8749.2007.00450.x
- Majnemer, A., Shevell, M., Law, M., Birnbaum, R., Chilingaryan, G., Rosenbaum, P., & Poulin, C. (2008). Participation and enjoyment of leisure activities in school-aged children with cerebral palsy. *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology*, 50(10), 751-758. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8749.2008.03068.x
- Martin, J. J. (2006). Psychosocial Aspects of Youth Disability Sport. *Adapted Physical Activity Quarterly*, 23, 65-77.
- McConachie, H., Colver, A. F., Forsyth, R. J., Jarvis, S. N., & Parkinson, K. N. (2006). Participation of disabled children: how should it be characterised and measured. *Disability & Rehabilitation*, 2006, Vol.28(18), p.1157-1164, 28(18), 1157-1164. doi:10.1080/09638280500534507
- Nordtorp, H. L., Nyquist, A., Jahnsen, R., Moser, T., & Strand, L. I. (2013). Reliability of the Norwegian Version of the Children's Assessment of Participation and Enjoyment (CAPE) and Preferences for Activities of Children (PAC). *Phys Occup Ther Pediatr*, 33(2), 199-212. doi:10.3109/01942638.2012.739269
- Nyquist. (2012). *Jeg kan delta! Barn med funksjonsnedsettelse og deltakelse i fysisk aktivitet - en multimetodestudie i en habiliteringskontekst*. Oslo: Norges Idrettshøgskole.
- Rosenbaum, P., & Gorter, J. W. (2012). The 'F-words' in childhood disability: I swear this is how we should think! (Vol. 38, pp. 457-463). Oxford, UK.

- Schreuer, N., Sachs, D., & Rosenblum, S. (2013). Participation in leisure activities: Differences between children with and without physical disabilities. *Research in Developmental Disabilities, 35*(1), 223-233. doi:10.1016/j.ridd.2013.10.001
- Shields, N., Synnot, A., & Barr, M. (2012). Perceived barriers and facilitators to physical activity for children with disability: a systematic review. *Br. J. Sports Med., 46*(14), 989-997. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2012-090236
- Shikako-Thomas, K., Kolehmainen, N., Ketelaar, M., Bult, M., Law, M., & Majnemer, A. (2014). Promoting Leisure Participation as Part of Health and Well-Being in Children and Youth With Cerebral Palsy. *Journal of Child Neurology, 29*(8), 1125-1133. doi:10.1177/0883073814533422
- Shikako-Thomas, K., Shevell, M., Lach, L., Law, M., Schmitz, N., Poulin, C., & Majnemer, A. (2012). Picture me playing—A portrait of participation and enjoyment of leisure activities in adolescents with cerebral palsy. *Research in Developmental Disabilities, 34*(3), 1001-1010. doi:10.1016/j.ridd.2012.11.026
- Shikako-Thomas, K., Shevell, M., Schmitz, N., Lach, L., Law, M., Poulin, C., & Majnemer, A. (2013). Determinants of participation in leisure activities among adolescents with cerebral palsy. *Research in Developmental Disabilities, 34*(9), 2621-2634. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2013.05.013>
- Shimmell, L. J., Gorter, J. W., Jackson, D., Wright, M., & Galuppi, B. (2013). "It's the participation that motivates him": physical activity experiences of youth with cerebral palsy and their parents. *Phys Occup Ther Pediatr, 33*(4), 405-420. doi:10.3109/01942638.2013.791916
- Ullenhag, A., Almqvist, L., Granlund, M., & Krumlinde-sundholm, L. (2012). Cultural validity of the Children's Assessment of Participation and Enjoyment/Preferences for

Activities of Children (CAPE/PAC). *Scandinavian Journal of Occupational Therapy*,  
2012, Vol.19(5), p.428-438, 19(5), 428-438. doi:10.3109/11038128.2011.631218

WHO. (2010). *Global Recommendations on Physical Activity for Health*. Retrieved from  
Genève:

Pre-published version

**Table 1.** Characteristics of the participants and dropouts at three measurement times.

Characteristic	Time 1	Time 2	Time 3	Dropout
Number	152	118	80	72
Gender <i>n</i> (%)				
Girls	67 (44.1)	51 (43.2)	32 (40)	35 (48)
Boys	85 (55.9)	67 (56.8)	48 (60)	37 (51.4)
Age; mean (SD). min–max*	11.9 (2.6)	11.6 (2.5)	11.1 (2.4)	12.5 (2.5)
Age group <i>n</i> (%)				
6–9 years	35 (23)	31 (26.3)	26 (32.5)	9 (12.5)
10–13 years	73 (48)	59 (50)	37 (46.3)	36 (50)
14–17 years*	44 (28.9)	28 (23.7)	17 (21.3)	27 (37.5)
Child's primary health and development problem <i>n</i> (%)				
Cerebral palsy	57 (37.5)	41 (34.7)	31 (38.8)	26 (36.1)
Developmental delay (mental retardation?)	18 (11.8)	18 (15.3)	13 (16.3)	5 (6.9)
Sensory loss (visual and hearing loss)	9 (5.9)	6 (5.1)	5 (6.3)	4 (5.6)
Muscle/skeletal deformities*	9 (5.9)	7 (5.9)	2 (2.5)	7 (9.7)
Deformities/metabolic disorders in the CNS*	10 (6.6)	6 (5.1)	1 (1.3)	9 (12.5)
Spina bifida	16 (10.5)	12 (10.2)	9 (11.3)	7 (9.7)
Neurological diseases	13 (8.6)	11 (9.3)	9 (11.3)	4 (5.6)
Other	20 (13.2)	17(14.4)	10 (12.5)	10 (13.9)

\*Significant difference between participants at time 3 and dropouts.

**Table 2.** The CAPE scores of participation for all 55 activities, in physical- and skill-based activities, and activities of physical character for all participants and the two genders separately.

	Time 1 mean (SD)/median (min/max)	Time 2 mean (SD)/median (min/max)	Time 3 mean (SD)/median (min/max)
<b>Diversity</b>			
All 55 activities, all* ( <i>n</i> = 80)	28.2 (5.3)/29.0 (16/39)	27.3 (5.4)/27.0 (15/43)	27.1(5.7)/27.0 (13/41)
Girls ( <i>n</i> = 32)	28.4 (5.9)/31.0 (16/38)	27.4 (5.6)/27.0 (15/43)	27.4 (6.1)/27.5 (17/38)
Boys ( <i>n</i> = 48)	28.0 (4.9)/29.0 (18/39)	27.3 (5.3)/27.0 (16/37)	26.9 (5.5)/27.0 (13/41)
Physical all	3.9 (1.8)/4.0 (0/8)	3.7 (2.3)/4.0 (0/9)	3.6 (1.9)/4.0 (0/9)
Girls*	3.4 (1.5)/4.0 (0/6)	2.7 (1.8)/2.5 (0/8)	2.8 (1.7)/2.5 (0/7)
Boys	4.2 (2.0)/4.0 (1/8)	4.4 (2.3)/4.0 (0/9)**	4.1 (1.9)/4.0 (0/9)**
Skill-based all	2.5 (1.5)/2.0 (0/6)	2.7 (1.6)/3.0 (1/7)	2.5 (1.5)/2.0 (0/6)
Girls	2.8 (1.6)/3.0 (0/6)	3.0 (1.6)/3.0 (0/6)	3.0 (1.5)/3.0 (1/6)**
Boys	2.3 (1.4)/2.0 (0/6)	2.4 (1.5)/2.0 (0/7)	2.2 (1.4)/2.0 (0/5)
<b>Intensity</b>			
All 55 activities, all*	2.4 (0.7)/2.4 (1/4)	2.3 (0.5)/2.3 (1/4)	2.3 (0.5)/2.3 (1/4)
Girls	2.5 (0.6)/2.7 (1/4)	2.3 (0.6)/2.3 (1/4)	2.3 (0.6)/2.3 (1/4)
Boys	2.4 (0.5)/2.4 (1/4)	2.3 (0.5)/2.4 (1/3)	2.3 (0.5)/2.3 (1/4)
Physical all	1.4 (0.7)/1.2 (0/3)	1.3 (0.7)/1.2 (0/3)	1.3 (0.8)/1.2 (0/4)
Girls*	1.1 (0.5)/1.1 (0/2)	0.9 (0.5)/0.9 (0/3)	0.9 (0.6)/0.9 (0/3)
Boys	1.1 (0.8)/1.3 (0/3)	1.5 (0.7)/1.5 (0/3)**	1.4 (0.8)/1.2 (0/4)**
Skill-based all	1.3 (0.7)/1.2 (0/3)	1.3 (0.7)/1.2 (0/4)	1.3 (0.7)/1.1 (0/3)
Girls	1.4 (0.8)/1.4 (0/3)	1.5 (0.7)/1.3 (1/3)**	1.4 (0.8)/1.3 (0/3)
Boys	1.1 (0.7)/1.1 (0/3)	1.1 (0.7)/1.2 (0/4)	1.1 (0.6)/1.0 (0/2)
<b>Enjoyment</b>			
All 55 activities, all	3.9(0.5)/3.9 (3/5)	4.0 (0.5)/4.0 (3/5)	3.9 (0.5)/3.9 (2/5)
Girls	3.9 (0.5)/3.9 (3/5)	4.0 (0.4)/4.0 (3/5)	4.1 (0.5)/4.1 (3/5)
Boys	3.9 (0.5)/4.0 (3/5)	3.9 (0.5)/4.0 (3/5)	3.9 (0.5)/3.9 (2/5)
Physical all	4.1(0.7)/4.0 (2/5)	3.9 (0.9)/4.2 (1/5)	4.0 (0.7)/4.0 (1/5)
Girls	3.7 (0.7)/3.8 (2/5)	3.8 (1.0)/4.0 (1/5)	3.9 (0.7)/4.0 (3/5)
Boys	4.3 (0.6)/4.4 (3/5)**	4.0 (0.9)/4.3 (1/5)	4.1 (0.7)/4.3 (1/5)**
Skill-based, all	4.3(0.8)/4.5 (1/5)	4.3 (0.7)/4.5 (2/5)	4.3 (0.8)/4.5 (2/5)
Girls	4.2 (0.9)/4.5 (1/5)	4.4 (0.6)/4.6 (3/5)	4.5 (0.6)/4.8 (3/5)**
Boys	4.1 (0.9)/4.5 (2/5)	4.2 (0.8)/4.3 (2/5)	4.1 (0.8)/4.4 (2/5)

\*Significant change between times:  $p < 0.05$ .

\*\*Significant difference between gender:  $p < 0.05$ .

**Table 3.** Scores of participation for all 55 activities, physical- and skill-based activities, and activities of physical character divided in age groups.

	Time 1 mean (SD)/median (min/max)	Time 2 mean (SD)/median (min/max)	Time 3 mean (SD)/median (min/max)
<b>Diversity</b>			
All 55 activities			
6–9	29.4 (4.7)/30.0 (19/38)	28.7 (5.4)/28.0 (17/43)	30.0 (5.4)/29.0 (13/41)**
10–13*	28.4 (5.0)/29.0 (16/39)	27.0 (5.2)/27.0 (16/37)	26.1 (5.4)/26.0 (17/37)
14–17	25.6 (6.3)/25.5 (17/38)	25.8 (5.6)/25.5 (15/37)	24.9 (5.4)/25.0 (17/38)
<b>Physical</b>			
6–9	4.1 (1.8)/4.0 (1/8)	4.0 (2.1)/4.0 (0/8)	4.2 (1.3)/4.0 (1/9)
10–13	3.9 (1.7)/4.0 (0/8)	3.6 (2.3)/4.0 (0/9)	3.2 (2.1)/3.0 (0/9)
14–17	3.6 (2.1)/3.0 (1/7)	3.6 (2.6)/3.0 (0/9)	3.4 (1.6)/3.0 (1/6)
<b>Skill-based</b>			
6–9	2.7 (1.4)/2.5 (0/6)	2.6 (1.7)/3.0 (0/6)	2.6 (1.7)/2.0 (0/6)
10–13	2.3 (1.6)/2.0 (0/6)	2.5 (1.4)/2.0 (0/5)	2.5 (1.4)/2.0 (0/6)
14–17	2.6 (1.4)/3.0 (0/6)	2.9 (1.8)/2.5 (0/7)	2.4 (1.3)/2.5 (0/5)
<b>Intensity</b>			
All 55 activities			
6–9	2.6 (0.5)/2.5 (2/3)**	2.6 (0.5)/2.7 (2/4)**	2.6 (0.5)/2.0 (1/4)**
10–13*	2.5 (0.6)/2.6 (1/4)	2.3 (0.5)/2.3 (1/3)	2.3 (0.5)/2.2 (1/3)
14–17	2.1 (0.6)/2.1 (1/4)	2.0 (0.4)/2.0 (1/3)	2.0 (0.5)/2.2 (1/3)
<b>Physical</b>			
6–9	1.5 (0.8)/1.4 (0/3)	1.5 (0.7)/1.4 (0/3)	1.5 (0.8)/1.3 (0/4)
10–13	1.3 (0.6)/1.3 (0/3)	1.3 (0.7)/1.2 (0/3)	1.1 (0.7)/1.0 (0/3)
14–17	1.1 (0.7)/1.0 (0/3)	1.0 (0.8)/0.7 (0/3)	1.0 (0.7)/1.0 (0/2)
<b>Skill-based</b>			
6–9	1.3 (0.7)/1.3 (0/3)	1.3 (0.7)/1.3 (0/3)	1.3 (0.7)/1.4 (1/3)
10–13	1.2 (0.7)/1.1 (0/3)	1.2 (0.7)/1.2 (0/3)	1.2 (0.7)/1.1 (0/3)
14–17	1.2 (0.8)/1.2 (0/3)	1.4 (0.9)/1.2 (1/4)	1.1 (0.7)/1.0 (0/3)
<b>Enjoyment</b>			
All 55 activities			
6–9	4.0 (0.4)/4.0 (3/5)	4.1 (0.5)/4.2 (3/5)	4.0 (0.4)/4.1 (3/5)
10–13	3.8 (0.5)/3.9 (3/5)	3.8 (0.5)/3.9 (3/5)	3.8 (0.5)/3.9 (2/5)
14–17	4.0 (0.4)/4.0 (3/5)	4.0 (0.3)/4.0 (4/5)	4.0 (0.5)/3.9 (3/5)
<b>Physical</b>			
6–9	4.3 (0.7)/4.6 (3/5)	4.2 (0.7)/4.3 (2/5)	4.3 (0.5)/4.2 (3/5)
10–13	3.8 (0.7)/3.8 (2/5)	3.7 (0.9)/4.0 (1/5)	3.9 (0.8)/4.0 (1/5)
14–17	4.2 (0.6)/4.0 (3/5)	4.0 (1.0)/4.3 (1/5)	4.0 (0.8)/4.0 (3/5)
<b>Skill-based</b>			
6–9	4.1 (1.1)/4.7 (3/5)	4.4 (0.7)/4.6 (2/5)	4.3 (0.8)/4.5 (2/5)
10–13	4.2 (0.9)/4.5 (1/5)	4.2 (0.7)/4.3 (2/5)	4.2 (0.8)/4.5 (2/5)
14–17	4.0 (0.8)/4.3 (2/5)	4.7 (0.5)/4.7 (3/5)	4.3 (0.8)/4.7 (3/5)

\*Significant change between times:  $p < 0.05$ .

\*\*Significant difference between age group:  $p < 0.05$ .